UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,008	04/14/2006	Robert John Saunders	M03B302	8935
71134 Edwards Vacuu	7590 02/20/200 ım. Inc.	EXAMINER		
55 MADISON AVENUE			TRAN, HANH VAN	
	Suite 400 MORRISTOWN, NJ 07960			PAPER NUMBER
			3637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/576,008	SAUNDERS, ROBERT JOHN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	HANH V. TRAN	3637		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  "HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ The substitution of t	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	rawn from consideration. l/or election requirement.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 14 April 2006 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application _·		

Application/Control Number: 10/576,008 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is the First Office Action on the Merits from the examiner in charge of this application.

## Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it includes legal phraseology such as "comprises". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 3637

5. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by USP 6,883,879 to Latchinian.

Latchinian discloses a pump enclosure comprising all the elements recited in the above listed claims including a base, a cover, a plurality of pillars detachably connected at one end thereof to the base and at the other end thereof to the cover, wherein at least one of the plurality of pillars comprises interconnected extrusions defining therebetween a housing for pump control means (such as wires 14), wherein the extrusions are formed from aluminum, at least one of the extrusions comprising means for housing various components therein (the grooves shown in Fig s23-24b), a plurality of wheels 180, Fig 16-17.

## Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hung, Wei, Catta et al, Marzec et al, Martin, Rindoks et al, Krietzman, Kirk, Simon et al, Kemp, Ford, and Kitz all show structures similar to various elements of applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HANH V. TRAN whose telephone number is (571)272-6868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, and alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,008 Page 4

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HVT February 17, 2009

/Hanh V. Tran/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3637